

## REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

### Election Requirement

In furtherance to Applicant's previous election, with traverse, of the species identified by the Examiner as being embodied in Figures 4a-5c of the present application, Applicant further states that claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 7-12, 15-17, 20-29 and 32-34 are readable on such species. Applicant inadvertently omitted this listing of claims in its first response to the Examiner's election/restriction requirement.

Applicant continues to maintain, however, that Species I and Species II, as identified by the Examiner, should be examined together in the present application. Consequently, Applicant reiterates its request that the Examiner withdraw the election requirement.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 09/13/2006

By: /Eric M. Gayan/

Eric M. Gayan  
Attorney for Applicant  
Registration No. 46,103  
Standley Law Group LLP  
495 Metro Place South  
Suite 210  
Dublin, Ohio 43017-5319  
Telephone: (614) 792-5555  
Facsimile: (614) 792-5536  
E-mail: egayan@standleyllp.com